Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/850,123	HUNTER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Arlen Soderquist	1743
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Arlen Soderquist.	(3)	
(2) <u>Samuel J. Petuchowski</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 October 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	pplicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: 17		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G See Continuation Sheet	ENERAL NATURE OF WHAT V	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation of the interview in resolution of all issues. A brief sun 	 The examiner will provide a value rate record of the substance of 	written summary of the substance the interview.
•		1
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appl	icant/Applicant's Representative	e Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed a change to claim 17based on a complimentary copy of the claims filed 10-20-01, to bring it into condition for allowance. The change was agreed upon and authorization was given to make the change by examiner's amendment upon receipt of the official paper.